NN BOWKING

RESEARCH MELADOS HEALTH Investigating health and health services

Research Methods in Health

Research Methods in Health: Investigating Health and Health Services

FOURTH EDITION

Ann Bowling



Open University Press McGraw-Hill Education McGraw-Hill House Shoppenhangers Road Maidenhead Berkshire England SL6 2QL

email: enquiries@openup.co.uk world wide web: www.openup.co.uk

and Two Penn Plaza, New York, NY 10121-2289, USA

First published 1997 Second edition published 2002 Third edition published 2009 First published in this fourth edition 2014

Copyright © Ann Bowling, 2014

All rights reserved. Except for the quotation of short passages for the purposes of criticism and review, no part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without the prior written permission of the publisher or a licence from the Copyright Licensing Agency Limited. Details of such licences (for reprographic reproduction) may be obtained from the Copyright Licensing Agency Ltd of Saffron House, 6-10 Kirby Street, London, EC1N 8TS.

A catalogue record of this book is available from the British Library

ISBN-13: 978-0-335-26274-8 ISBN-10: 0-335-26274-0 eISBN: 978-0-335-26275-5

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data CIP data applied for

Typeset by Aptara, Inc.

Fictitious names of companies, products, people, characters and/or data that may be used herein (in case studies or in examples) are not intended to represent any real individual, company, product or event.

Praise for this book

"This excellent text really is a must for anyone involved in health research. It is truly multidisciplinary in its scope, drawing on a breadth of relevant research from health economics, to epidemiology to psychology which is beyond the scope of most books on research methods. Yet in spite of the wealth of material included it is written and presented in an accessible way so that it will be an invaluable source for those with a background in either qualitative or quantitative research and from students to experienced researchers."

> Robert J. Edelmann, Professor of Forensic and Clinical psychology, Roehampton University, UK

"Health service researchers - new and old - will be delighted by this new edition of a popular and useful text. There is new content but also updated material making this practically useful as a resource at any stage of the research trajectory. While health is the focus the book is hugely valuable to researchers in cognate areas, such as social care, education and housing. The book meets its own high standards in being easy to follow, well indexed and containing interesting examples of approaches. The limitations of different methods are also honestly reported. A 'must have' for the book shelf."

Jill Manthorpe, Professor of Social Work, King's College London, UK

"When first published in 1997, this volume was the first systematic overview of research methods used in the health field. In its updated 4th Edition it remains vital and, if anything, more important given the growing number of researchers and students investigating health issues and health services. It provides an impressively comprehensive overview of health research methods in which the wealth and variety of experience of the author shines through at every point. Qualitative, quantitative and mixed methods are appraised and explained with unpartisan authority and rigour, and the volume covers everything from multidisciplinary collaboration in health service evaluation through the Delphi technique of consensus development to the health economics needed to evaluate costing."

Paul Stenner, Professor of Social Psychology, The Open University, UK

Contents

Prefac	e to the fourth edition	xvii
Acknow	wledgements	xix
	CTION I Investigating health services and health: scope of research	1
1 E	valuating health services: multidisciplinary collaboration Introduction Health services research The assessment of quality Audit Medical audit, clinical audit, quality assurance and clinical governance Evaluation Structure, process and outcome Appropriateness and inappropriateness Outcome Summary of main points Key questions Key terms Recommended reading	5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 14 15 15
	ocial research on health: sociological and psychological concepts nd approaches Introduction Sociological and psychological research on health 2.1 Health and illness The bio-medical model The psychological model The social model of health Lay definitions of health Lay theories of illness A disability paradox? Variations in medical and lay perspectives 2.2 Social factors in illness and responses to illness Social variations in health: structural inequalities Psycho-social stress and responses to stress	17 18 19 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 26 27

	Key Key	Stigma, normalisation and adjustment The Sick Role and illness behaviour Models of health behaviour Health lifestyles Health behaviour Models of health-related actions mary of main points questions terms ommended reading	31 33 36 36 38 38 42 43 43 43
3	-	of life: concepts, measurements and patient	
	percep	tion	44
		duction	44
	3.1		45
		Health-related quality of life	45
		Broader quality of life	45
		What are the criteria for a good QoL measure? Theoretical influences on measurement	51 58
	3.2		59
	5.2	Interactions between health professionals and patients	59
		Patients' evaluations of health care	60
		Patients' preferences	64
	Sum	mary of main points	70
	Key	questions	70
	•	terms	71
	Reco	ommended reading	71
4	Health	needs and their assessment: demography and epidemiology	72
	Intro	duction	72
	4.1	The assessment of health needs	73
		Health needs	73
		The need for health and the need for health care	74
		Methods of assessing health needs	77
	4.2	The role of epidemiological and demographic research	81 81
	4.2	Epidemiology The role of epidemiology	81
		Epidemiological research	82
		Methods of epidemiology	85
		Assessing morbidity, mortality, incidence and prevalence	91
	4.3	The role of demography	95
		Demographical methods in relation to assessing need	95
		Rates: births and deaths	96
		Standardisation	97
	_	Analyses of survival	99
		mary of main points	102
	Key	questions	102

	Key terms Recommended reading	102 103
5	Costing health services: health economics	104
	Introduction	104
	Health economics	105
	Demand, utility and supply	107
	Economic appraisal	108
	Cost minimisation	109
	Cost-effectiveness	109
	Cost–benefit analysis	109
	Event pathways	111
	Opportunity cost	112
	Discounting	114
	Cost–utility analysis	114
	Cost-utility analysis and summary health indices	115
	Eliciting values	116
	Costing health services	122
	Study methods used for costings	125
	Summary of main points	126
	Key questions	126
	Key terms	126
	Recommended reading	127

SECTION II The philosophy, theory and practice of research 129

6	The philosophical framework of measurement	131
	Introduction	131
	The philosophy of science	132
	Paradigms	132
	Objectivity and value freedom	133
	Deductive and inductive approaches	134
	The survival of hypotheses and paradigm shifts	137
	Theoretical influences on social research methods	138
	Social science and grounded theory	138
	Positivism	139
	Phenomenology	141
	Choice of methods	143
	Summary of main points	144
	Key questions	144
	Key terms	145
	Recommended reading	145
7	The principles of research	146
	Introduction	146
	Searching the published and unpublished literature	147

Systematic literature reviews	148
Systematic qualitative reviews	152
Meta-analyses	153
Critical appraisal	156
Evidence-based practice	157
Rigour in conducting research	160
Aims, objectives and hypotheses	161
Concepts and theories	162
Research proposals	164
Research design and research methods	166
Selection of measurement instruments	166
Level of data and statistical techniques	167
Levels of data, parametric and non-parametric statistics	168
Reliability and validity	170
Threats to reliability and validity	179
Ethics and ethical committees	182
Dissemination	184
Summary of main points	185
Key questions	186
Key terms	186
Recommended reading	187

SECTION III Quantitative research: sampling and research methods

-	00
	XU.
_	

Sample	size and sampling for quantitative and qualitative research	191
Intro	duction	191
8.1	Calculation of sample size, statistical significance and sampling	192
	The sampling unit	192
	Calculation of sample size and statistical power	193
	Testing hypotheses, statistical significance, the null hypothesis	194
	Type I and type II errors	195
		197
	Statistical, social and clinical significance	197
	Sampling frames	199
	Sampling	200
	Confidence intervals and the normal distribution	201
	External validity of the sample results	205
8.2	Methods of sampling	205
	Random sampling	206
		208
		209
	Sampling for telephone interviews	210
Sum	mary of main points	211
	Intro 8.1 8.2	The sampling unit Calculation of sample size and statistical power Testing hypotheses, statistical significance, the null hypothesis Type I and type II errors One- or two-sided hypothesis testing Statistical, social and clinical significance Sampling frames Sampling Confidence intervals and the normal distribution External validity of the sample results 8.2 Methods of sampling Random sampling Non-random sampling: quota sampling Sampling for qualitative research

	Key questions Key terms	212 212
	Notes	212
	Recommended reading	213
9	Quantitative research: surveys	214
	Introduction	214
	9.1 Survey methods	215
	The survey	215
	Descriptive and analytic surveys	215 217
	Retrospective (ex post facto), cross-sectional surveys Prospective, longitudinal surveys	217 217
	Cross-sectional and longitudinal cohort studies	220
	Triangulated research methods and surveys	221
	9.2 Methods of analysing change in longitudinal studies	223
	Analysing change	223
	Sample attrition and analysing change	229
	Stopping rules and analysis of interim results	232
	Summary of main points	232
	Key questions	233
	Key terms	233
	Recommended reading	233
10	Quantitative research: experiments and other analytic methods	004
	of investigation	234
	Introduction	235
	The experimental method	235 238
	Internal and external validity Reducing bias in participants and the investigating team	238 241
	Blind experiments	241
	The RCT in health care evaluation	243
	Other analytic methods of investigation	249
	Before–after study with non-randomised control group	251
	After-only study with non-randomised control group	251
	Time series studies using different samples (historical controls)	252
	Geographical comparisons	252
	People acting as own controls	253
	Within-person, controlled site study	253
	Threats to the validity of causal inferences in other analytic studies	253 254
	Summary of main points Key questions	254 254
	Key terms	254
	Recommended reading	255
11	J J J J J J J J J J J J J J J J J J J	
	and other analytic methods	256
	Introduction	256
	Random sampling	257

Convenience and purposive sampling	257
Volunteers	258
Type of investigation and type of sampling frame	258
Response rates: experiments and other analytic studies	259
Ensuring similarity in group characteristics: random allocation	259
Other allocation methods: cross-over methods	266
Methods of group design for improving the basic RCT	267
Common methods of controlling to obtain equivalence in non-randomised	
studies	269
Summary of main points	271
Key questions	271
Key terms	271
Recommended reading	272

SECTION IV The tools of quantitative research 2	73
---	----

12	Data collection methods in quantitative research: questionnaires, interviews and their response rates	275
	Introduction	275
	Structured and semi-structured questionnaires	276
	Postal questionnaires and self-administration	278
	Structured and semi-structured interviews	278
	Non-response	280
	Item non-response	286
	Summary of main points	288
	Key questions	288
	Key terms	289
	Recommended reading	289
13	Questionnaire design	290
	Introduction	290
	Planning	291
	Piloting	291
	Questionnaire layout	292
	The covering letter	294
	Question form, order and wording	294
	Rules for form	294
	Scores	302
	Constructing additional items and scales	304
	Attitude measurement scales	304
	Rules for order and wording	310
	Rules for questions by type of topic	316
	Checking the accuracy of responses	321
	Translating an instrument and cultural equivalence	322
	Summary of main points	323

	Key questions	323
	Key terms	324 324
	Recommended reading	
14	Techniques of survey interviewing	325
	Introduction	326
	Types of interview	326
	The interviewer	326
	Interviewer handbooks	327
	Sampling by interviewers	329
	Interviewer training	332
	Interviewer bias	332
	Persistence in contacting respondents	333
	Approaching respondents	334
	Motivating people to respond	335
	Third parties and distractions	336
	Beginning the interview	337
	Rules for structured interviewing	338
	Interviewing techniques	340
	The end of the interview	344
	Recording responses	344
	Debriefing	345 345
	Quality control	345
	Summary of main points	346
	Key questions	346
	Key terms	340
	Recommended reading	
15	Preparation of quantitative data for coding and analysis	348
	Introduction	348
	Coding	349
	Coding transfer sheets	351
	The code book	351
	Numerical values for codes	352
	Coding open questions	353
	Coding closed questions	355
	Checking returned questionnaires	355
	Cleaning the data	356
	Checking for bias in the analyses	357
	Missing values and data checks	358
	Computer packages for the analysis of quantitative data	360
	The analysis Summary of main points	360 361
	o 1	361
	Key questions	362
	Key terms Recommended reading	362
	Recommended reading	362

S	ECTION V Qualitative and mixed research methods	363
16	Unstructured and structured observational studies Introduction Observation Participant observation Gaining access Hardware: video- and audiotapes Establishing validity and reliability Observation and triangulated methods Structured observations: what to record Time sampling Recording observed (non-verbal) body language Unstructured observations Combining structured and unstructured recordings Theoretical analysis of observational data Categorisation of observational data Narratives Audio-observation: conversation sampling Recording and analysing verbal communication Summary of main points Key questions Key terms Recommended reading	369 370 371 372 373 374 376 377 380 380 381 382 383 385 386 387 388 387 388 387 388 387 388 387 388 387 388 387 388 387
17	Unstructured interviewing Introduction Unstructured interviews In-depth interviewing: sample selection and size The process of the interview Techniques of in-depth interviewing Checklists Analysis and presentation of in-depth interview data Categorising qualitative data: content analysis Rules for coding Electronic computer programs for analysing qualitative data Narrative format Summary of main points Key questions Key terms Recommended reading	391 392 395 396 397 399 400 401 404 405 406 408 409 409
18	Focus groups	410
	Introduction Focus group interviews	410 411

	Group composition	411
	Appropriate topics for focus groups	412
	Weaknesses of focus groups	414
	Methods of analysis	415
	Summary of main points	417
	Key terms	417
	Recommended reading	417
19	Mixed research approaches	418
	Introduction	418
	19.1 Realistic evaluation and blurring of boundaries	420
	19.2 Case studies	422
	The study of single or small series of cases	422
	Examples of case studies	423
	The analysis	424
	19.3 Consensus methods	425
	Methods for establishing and developing consensus	425
	Delphi technique	425
	Consensus development panels	427
	Nominal group process	428
	The analysis	429
	19.4 Action research and rapid appraisal techniques	430
	Action research	430
	Stages of action research	432
	Rapid appraisal	433
	Public involvement	435
	19.5 Document research	436
	Documents as sources of, or for, research	436
	Types of documents	437
	Authenticity, bias, error and interpretation	438
	Types of document research	439
	Analysis of documents	441
	Diary methods	445
	Summary of main points	447
	Key questions	447
	Key terms	448
	Recommended reading	448
Glos	ssary	449
References		457
Index		499

Preface to the fourth edition

This book is more than a text on research methods. It is an introduction to the theoretical concepts, as well as the descriptive and analytic research methods, that are used by the main disciplines engaged in research on health and health services. In order to understand why the various research methods are used, it is important to be aware of the conceptual backgrounds and scientific philosophies of those involved in research and evaluation, in particular in demography, epidemiology, health economics, psychology and sociology.

The fourth edition, while essentially similar to the earlier editions, includes updated classic and more recent references, and additional reference to key methodological developments, including realistic evaluation, stepped wedge trials, Zelen's design in trials, critical appraisal and evidence-based health care. The book is aimed at students and researchers of health and health services, health professionals and the policy-makers who have the responsibility for applying research findings, and who need to know how to judge the soundness of that research. The idea for the book, and its structure, are grounded in my career as a researcher on health and health service issues, and the valuable experience this has provided in meeting the challenges of research on people and organisations in real-life settings.

The varying terminology used by members of different disciplines in relation to the same research methods is often confusing. This variation simply reflects the multidisciplinary nature of this whole area, and the specialised languages of each discipline. While no descriptor can be labelled as incorrect, the multitude of them, especially when not clearly defined, can easily lead to confusion. Therefore, I have tried to justify the terminology used where it differs from that in other disciplines. Towards the end of the book I have included a glossary which I hope will prove useful for readers coming across unfamiliar terms. Readers wishing to explore methodological topics in more depth are referred to Bowling and Ebrahim (2005).

Acknowledgements

would like to thank Professor Ian Rees Jones for his earlier collaboration and advice on the chapters on economics and needs assessment. I am, as ever, grateful to the editorial staff at Open University Press for their positive and enthusiastic support for this undertaking, and to Susan Dunsmore for her helpful and thorough copy editing.

SECTION I

Investigating health services and health: the scope of research

'Would you tell me, please, which way I ought to go from here?', asked Alice.

'That depends a good deal on where you want to get to', said the cat.

Lewis Carroll (1865) Alice's Adventures in Wonderland

Introduction

Research is the systematic and rigorous process of enquiry which aims to describe phenomena and to develop and test explanatory concepts and theories. Ultimately it aims to contribute to a scientific body of knowledge. More specifically, in relation to the focus of this book, it aims to improve health, health outcomes and health services.

The book aims to provide an overview of the range of research methods that are used in investigations of health and health services. Ultimately the purpose is to guide the reader in choosing an appropriate research method and design in order to address a particular research question. However, it is not possible to place research methods in a hierarchy of excellence, as different research methods are appropriate for addressing different research questions.

If the research question is descriptive, for example, 'What is the health status of population X?', then a cross-sectional survey of a sample of that population is required to provide population estimates. The survey method will also enable the answers to secondary questions to be estimated for that population (e.g. 'Are men more likely than women to report poor health status?') and certain (non-causal) types of hypotheses to be tested (e.g. 'Men will be X times more likely than women to report good health status'). If the research question is 'Do women have worse health outcomes than men following acute myocardial infarction (AMI)?', then a prospective, longitudinal survey of identified men and women who had suffered an AMI would be undertaken in order to be able to compare their health outcomes over time in the future.

If the research aims to find out information on a topic about which little is known, or is too complex or sensitive for the development of standardised instruments, then qualitative methods (e.g. observational methods, in-depth interviews and/or focus groups) may be more appropriate (e.g. 'Is there quality of life on long-stay psychogeriatric wards?'; 'Are there dehumanising care practices in long-stay institutions?'; 'How do doctors prioritise their patient caseload?').

And if the research aims to investigate cause-and-effect issues, then an experimental design is, in theory, required (e.g. 'Do women aged 75+ have worse health outcomes than men aged 75+ following thrombolysis therapy for acute myocardial infarction?'; 'Do patients with osteoarthritis of the knee benefit from physiotherapy?'; 'Are specialists' outreach clinics held in general practitioners' surgeries as cost-effective as specialists' out-patient clinics in hospitals?'). While the double-blind, randomised controlled trial (RCT) is the true experimental design, and most appropriate for addressing these types of questions, there are also situations in which this method is unrealistic, impractical or inappropriate and other well-designed analytic (as opposed to descriptive) methods have to be employed instead (see Chapter 10). For some cause-and-effect questions, the RCT may be the most appropriate research design but it would be unethical to randomise people to interventions that are unacceptable, and the issue must therefore be addressed using other methods, such as a prospective, longitudinal survey of a population (e.g. 'Does drinking spirits increase the risk of heart disease?').

Finally, research methods should not be seen in isolation from each other. A triangulated or combined methodological approach to addressing different facets of a research issue, using different methods which complement each other, is increasingly recommended as a means of establishing the external validity of the research. In the same way in which prospective, longitudinal surveys can inform the results from RCTs, so qualitative research findings can enhance quantitative survey data by placing the latter into real social contexts and enhancing understanding of relevant social processes.

The importance of using triangulated research methods is enhanced by the multifaceted nature of health, and the multidisciplinary character of research on health and health services. This includes investigations by anthropologists, demographers, epidemiologists, health economists, health geographers, health policy analysts, health psychologists, historians, medical sociologists, statisticians and health professionals (clinicians, nurses, physiotherapists, and so on). Specialists in public health medicine play a key role in health services research, as they are equipped with a range of research skills, including epidemiology. In Britain and in some other countries, they also have responsibility for assessing needs for health care. There is a close working relationship between researchers investigating health and health services and health professionals, particularly in relation to the development of measures of clinical outcomes and the appropriateness of health care interventions.

One consequence of this multidisciplinary activity is that a wide range of qualitative and quantitative, descriptive and analytical research methods is available. This diversity should enrich the approach to research design, although there has been a tendency in research on health services to focus mainly on the experimental method. All methods have their problems and limitations, and the over-reliance on any one method, at the expense of using multiple research methods, to investigate the phenomenon of interest can lead to 'a very limited tool box' (Pope and Mays 1993), sometimes with questionable validity (Webb *et al.* 1966), and consequently to a limited understanding of the phenomena of interest. It is necessary at this point to distinguish between the terms *health research* and *health services research*.

Health research

Health research has been defined in relation to health generally. As well as having an emphasis on health services, it has an important role in informing the planning and operation of services aiming to achieve health (Hunter and Long 1993). As Davies (1991) observes:

66 the process [of] obtaining systematic knowledge and technology . . . can be used for the improvement of the health of individual groups. It provides the basic information on the state of health and disease of the population; it aims to develop tools to prevent and cure illness and mitigate its effects, and it attempts to devise better approaches to health care for the individual and the community.

The broader aspects of health research are described in Chapters 2, 3 and 4 (e.g. in relation to health needs and sociological and psychological aspects of health).

Health systems and health services research

There is no accepted definition of a health system, and it has been variously defined in terms of the structures used to deliver health care, the geographical boundaries of the latter, or the strategies used to attain population health (Nolte *et al.* 2005). Health systems research has thus been defined fairly broadly as: 'ultimately concerned with improving the health of a community, by enhancing the efficiency and effectiveness of the health system as an integrated part of the overall process of socio-economic development' (Varkevisser *et al.* 1991).

In Britain and the USA the general focus is on health services research, rather than on health systems research. Health services research is defined more narrowly in relation to the relationship between health service delivery and the health needs of the population: for example, as 'the identification of the health care needs of communities and the study of the provision, effectiveness and use of health services' (Medical Research Council, see Clarke and Kurinczuk 1992). While there is an overlap with health research, health services research needs to be translated into action to be of value and should 'transcend the R (acquiring knowledge) and the D (translating that knowledge into action) divide' (Hunter and Long 1993).

Each of these definitions emphasises the multidisciplinary nature of health research, health systems research and health services research. Health services research, for example, has been described as 'a space within which disciplines can meet' (Pope 1992), and as an area of applied research, rather than a discipline (Hunter and Long 1993).

Within these definitions, the topics covered in Chapters 1, 4 and 5, on evaluating health services, health needs and their assessment (the latter also comes within the definition of broader health research) and the costing of health services, are encompassed by health services research. Chapter 2, on social research on health, and Chapter 3, on quality of life, also fall within both health research and health services research. Not everyone would agree with these definitions and distinctions. For example,

some might categorise the assessment of needs as health research rather than health services research. What is important is not the distinctions and overlaps between these branches of research, but a respect for each discipline in relation to its contribution to a multidisciplinary body of knowledge about health and disease, health systems as a whole and health services.

Finally, it should be pointed out that research on health services is not insulated from the society within which it is placed. It is often responsive to current policy and political issues (see Cartwright 1992), and is thus dependent upon decisions taken by others in relation to research topics and research funding. While it is common for researchers to initiate new research ideas, much of the funding for this research comes from government bodies, who tend to prioritise research and development on a local or national basis. The research topics are rarely value-free. The research findings are also disseminated to members of a wide range of professional, voluntary and management groups. In relation to this multidisciplinary nature, the agenda for research and the consumers of the research findings, it contrasts starkly with the traditional biomedical model of research.

Section contents

1	Evaluating health services: multidisciplinary collaboration	5
2	Social research on health: sociological and psychological concepts and approaches	17
3	Quality of life: concepts, measurements and patient perception	44
4	Health needs and their assessment: demography and epidemiology	72
5	Costing health services: health economics	104

CHAPTER 1

Evaluating health services: multidisciplinary collaboration

Chapter contents	
Introduction	5
Health services research	6
The assessment of quality	7
Audit	7
Medical audit, clinical audit, quality assurance and clinical governance	8
Evaluation	9
Structure, process and outcome	10
Appropriateness and inappropriateness	11
Outcome Summary of main points Key questions Key terms Recommended reading	12 14 15 15 16

Introduction

Research on health and health services ranges from descriptive investigations of the experience of illness and people's perceptions of health and ill health (known as research on health, or health research) to evaluations of health services in relation to their appropriateness, effectiveness and costs (health services research). However, these two areas overlap and should not be rigidly divided, as it is essential to include the perspective of the lay person in health service evaluation and decision-making. Other related fields of investigation include audit, quality assurance and the assessment of needs for health services (usually defined in terms of the need for effective services), which come under

the umbrella of health research but also have a crucial link with health services research. Audit and quality assurance are not strictly research in the sense of contributing to a body of scientific knowledge and adherence to rigorous methods of conducting research (quantitative or qualitative). Instead they are concerned with monitoring in order to ensure that predefined standards of care are met. They are increasingly important activities with the emphasis on clinical governance in health care (Lugon and Secker-Walker 1999). They are described briefly below with the other main areas of research activity.

Health services research

t was explained in the introduction to Section I that health services research is concerned with the relationship between the provision, effectiveness and efficient use of health services and the health needs of the population. It is narrower than health research. More specifically, health services research aims to produce reliable and valid research data on which to base appropriate, effective, cost-effective, efficient and acceptable health services at the primary and secondary care levels. The phrase health technology assessment has been coined to describe the wider evaluation of health care interventions in terms of both their costs and their effectiveness.

The research knowledge acquired needs to be developed into action if the discipline is to be of value; hence the emphasis throughout industry and service organisations on 'research *and* development'. The focus is generally on:

- the relationships between the population's need and demand for health services, and the supply, use and acceptability of health services;
- the processes and structures, including the quality and efficiency, of health services;
- the appropriateness and effectiveness of health service interventions, in relation to effectiveness and cost-effectiveness, including patients' perceptions of outcome in relation to the effects on their health, health-related quality of life and their satisfaction with the outcome.

These areas of research are addressed in more detail in this chapter and in the other chapters included in Section I.

Health services research is distinct from audit and quality assurance, though they share the same concepts in relation to the evaluation of structure, process and outcome. Audit and quality assessment aim to monitor whether predefined and agreed standards have been met. Health services research has evaluation – rather than monitoring – as its aim. Health services research is also broader than traditional clinical research, which directly focuses on patients in relation to their treatment and care. Clinical research has traditionally focused on biochemical indicators, and more recently, and in selected specialties only, on the measurement of the broader quality of life of the patients. Health services research investigates the outcome of medical interventions from social, psychological, physical and economic perspectives. It has also been cogently argued that health services research should be concerned with the evaluation of the health sector in the broadest sense, and not limited to health services alone (Hunter and Long 1993).

Quality assessment and audit will be described next, followed by the concepts central to the latter and to health services research: the evaluation of the structure, process and outcome, including appropriateness, of health services.

The assessment of quality

The quality of care for the purposes of health care evaluation can be defined in relation to its effectiveness with regard to improving the patient's health status, and how well it meets professionals' and the public's standards about how the care should be provided (Donabedian 1980).

Approaches include performance indicators and assessment, and patient surveys. Systematic evaluations of quality follow Donabedian's (1980) or Maxwell's (1984) broader approaches. Donabedian focused on the measurement of *structure* (inputs and resources, such as staffing, buildings, funding); *process* (service delivery, organisation and use, including resources – e.g. rates of consultations and referrals, waiting times, admission and discharge procedures, prescribing practices); *output* (productivity and throughput, including discharge rates, access, effectiveness, equity); and *outcome* (death, disease, disability, discomfort, dissatisfaction). Maxwell described six dimensions of quality: *appropriateness*; *social acceptability* (patients' views, met expectations); *effectiveness* (consistent with desired effect); *relevance to need*; *equity*; and *accessibility* (siting, language, disability-friendly). Broader definitions are shown in Box 1.1.

Box 1.1 Modern definitions of quality of care

Higginson (1994) stated that quality of care needs to include humanity, as well as effectiveness, acceptability, equity, accessibility and efficiency. Building on work by Shaw (1989) and Black (1990), she defined quality of health care in broad terms:

- effectiveness (achieving the intended benefits in the population, under usual conditions of care);
- acceptability and humanity (to the consumer and provider);
- equity and accessibility (the provision and availability of services to everyone likely to benefit (in 'need'));
- efficiency (greatest benefit for least cost).

Higginson adds that patient empowerment might also be included, in order that they may increase their control over the services received, and each patient should be offered care that is appropriate.

Quality is clearly relevant to health services research. Quality assurance and medical and clinical audit are all initiatives to establish and maintain quality in health care, and also involve the evaluation of structure, process and outcome in relation to quality.

Audit

Audit is directed at the maintenance and achievement of quality in health care. Audit Aaims to improve patient outcome, to develop a more cost-effective use of resources and to have an educational function for health professionals. In theory, it should lead to change in clinical practice by encouraging a reflective culture of reviewing current practice, and by inducing changes which lead to better patient outcomes and satisfaction. Suggested criteria for undertaking an audit include: the issue addressed should be a common, significant or serious problem; any changes following audit should be likely to benefit patients and to lead to greater effectiveness; the issue is relevant to professional practice or development; there is realistic potential for improvement; and the end result is likely to justify the investment of the time and effort involved (Clinical Resource and Audit Group 1994). Investigators of audit have reported that most audit has focused on process, rather than structure or outcomes (e.g. Packwood 1995).

Medical audit, clinical audit, quality assurance and clinical governance

Audit consists of reviewing and monitoring current practice, and evaluation (comparison of performance) against agreed predefined standards (Standing Committee on Postgraduate Medical Education 1989). It is divided into medical and clinical audit, and is related to quality assurance. These have become commonplace in the British National Health Service (NHS) and are now built into the structure of provider units (e.g. hospitals and, increasingly, general practice). These three concepts have been clarified by Higginson (1994) (see Box 1.2.):

Box 1.2 Study of three concepts in audit

- Medical audit is the systematic critical analysis of the quality of *medical* care, including a review of diagnosis, and the procedures used for diagnosis, clinical decisions about the treatment, use of resources and patient outcome (Secretaries of State for Health, Wales, Northern Ireland and Scotland 1989a). Examples of medical audit include analyses of avoidable deaths, and the assessment of medical decision-making, resources and procedures used in relation to patient outcome.
- Clinical audit is conducted by doctors (medical audit) *and* other health care professionals (e.g. nurses, physiotherapists, occupational and speech therapists), and is the systematic critical analysis of the quality of clinical care. It includes collecting information to review diagnosis and the procedures used for diagnosis, clinical decisions about the treatment, use of resources and patient outcome (Secretaries of State for Health, Wales, Northern Ireland and Scotland 1989a).
- Quality assurance is a clinical and management approach which involves the systematic monitoring and evaluation of predefined and agreed levels of service provision. Quality assurance is the definition of standards, the measurement of their achievement and the mechanisms employed to improve performance (Shaw 1989). Medical and clinical audit is usually one part of a quality assurance programme. Quality assurance usually implies a planned programme involving the whole of a particular health service.

Audit can be carried out internally by organisations, members of a discipline (peer review), individuals who systematically review their work or that of their teams, or external bodies (e.g. purchasers for contract monitoring, or professional bodies). Certain criteria need to be met for conducting successful audit, including: effective clinical leadership;

strategic direction (vision, strategy, objectives and planning); audit staff and support (e.g. high calibre, right skill mix, reward, staff development); basic structures and systems (e.g. business planning); training and education; understanding and involvement (e.g. communication, leadership and so on); and organisational environment (e.g. structure, relationships) (Walshe 1995).

The process of audit

The process of audit involves multiple methods, such as document searching and analysis (e.g. analysis of complaints files, random or systematic selection of nursing and medical records for routine reviews), analysis of routine data, clinical case reviews and presentations in team meetings (see Hopkins 1990, for a review). It can also include the collection of information by focus groups of patients or by questionnaire, for example, patient satisfaction, patient-assessed outcome (see Riordan and Mockler 1996, for an example of this in an audit of a psycho-geriatric assessment unit). While quantitative research methodology is most appropriate for audit, much can also be gained by supplementing this with qualitative methods such as observation (e.g. visits to wards and clinics to assess quality by observation). The design of audits should also aim to be scientifically and methodologically rigorous (Russell and Wilson 1992; Department of Health 1993b).

Clinical governance

Clinical governance is a framework through which health care organisations are accountable for the quality and standard of the health care they provide. This is implemented by having systems in place to ensure best practice based on evidencebased medicine; clinical audit (measuring practice against predefined standards); monitoring and minimising risk; having systems for protecting patient confidentiality; education and training to enable staff competencies; providing good working conditions; being responsive to patients' needs; encouraging, and listening to, their feedback; being open about information and having formalised complaints procedures; and by patient and public involvement in service planning.

Evaluation

Evaluation is the use of the scientific method, and the rigorous and systematic collection of research data, to assess the effectiveness of organisations, services and programmes (e.g. health service interventions) in achieving predefined objectives (Shaw 1980). Evaluation is central to health services research and audit. It is *more* than audit because it aims to record not only what changes occur, but also what led to those changes. Evaluation can be divided into two types: formative and summative. Formative evaluation involves the collection of data while the organisation or programme is active, with the aim of developing or improving it. Summative evaluation involves collecting data about the active (or terminated) organisation or programme with the aim of deciding whether it should be continued or repeated (a health promotion activity or screening programme) (Kemm and Booth 1992).